# SCHLEY'S CROSS-**EXAMINATION ENDS**

The following testimony given before the Schley court of inquiry Wednesday was received too late for publication in our issue of yesterday:

Admiral Schley identified this paragraph as a part of his report. The witness said that before the helm of the Brooklyn was swung hard aport in order to make the loop, the helm was put alternately to port and to ptarboard, in order to meet the movements of the enemy's ships. "It was difficult," he said, "at that time to say whether they meant to go between the Texas and the Brooklyn or the other way.

#### THE TEXAS INCIDENT.

Admiral Schley, in response to a uestion, said he never heard of the exas incident until six months after the battle. He had been under the impression until later that the starhoard engine of the Brooklyn was backed to facilitate the turn. He recollected distinctly that he warned Capt Cook as the enemy approached that they were going to ram him. He was losely questioned as to the order which went below, to "Stand by to ram."

went below, to "Stand by to ram."
In answer to a question, he said he suppposed the captain had given the order. He was not certain. His attention was called to a letter of June 13, 1900, written from the South Atlantic station, in which the admiral was quoted as saying that the turn was made to avoid the last attempt of the Viscaya to ram, but as the letter could not be produced at the time he was Viscaya to ram, but as the letter could not be produced at the time he was not questioned upon it. His attention was next called to his letter to the Senate, in which he said the lurn was made to avoid blanketing the fire of his own ships, and also to his statement in his examination-in-chief, that he had not turned to port because to do so would have brought the ship into dangerous proximity to the enemy's sels and exposed her to possible tornedo attack or ramming.

REASON FOR THE TURN.

"Now," said Capt. Lemly, "here appear to be three reasons why you made the turn. Why did you make that turn?"

"As I have already stated in my firect testimony, there is another very much more important reason which you have not asked, and that was the ship might continue in the action and beat the enemy as we did. That was the controlling one of all. I never stat-ed that, because I thought it was evi-dent. I stated it for three or four reasons we could perhaps conjure up about it. I have them in mind. I have stated, in relation to the Senate document, that the matter was prepared very hurriedly, and here I stated the general purposes the movement was intended to accomplish."

# SCHLEY'S REPORT OF BATTLE.

The judge-advocate then took up Admiral Schley's report of the battle made to the department, dated Guantanamo Bar, July 6, 1898, and he was questioned concerning the statements made therein, attention being called to the statement that after the Viscaya had struck her colors the signal was made to cease firing and then the fol-lowing sentence: "The Oregon having proved vastly faster than the other battleships, she and the Brooklyn, together with the Texas and another ves continued westward in pursuit of the Colon, which had run close in shore, evidently seeking some good spot to beach if she should fail to elude her pursuers." sel, which proved to be your flagship

After recess Capt, Lemly laid before the court Admiral Schley's press copybook, containing a copy of his letter embracing his first report to Admiral Sampson of the battle of Santiago, which had been returned, and there was contention between counsel as to the admirability of the decument. the admissibility of the document as evidence. The decision of the court was to the effect that the report could not be read aloud, and was announced through Admiral Dewey as follows: "The court holds there is no objection to the witness refreshing his memory from the letter, but he cannot read it aloud."

NEW YORK NOT MENTIONED.

After some sparring by counsel, Admirai Schiey explained that the original peliminary report of the battle he took to the commander-in-chief and then returned to the Brooklyn. "Admiral Sampson then signaled for me to come on board again, which I did, and he handed the report back to me. There was nobody but himself and myself in the cabin at that time."

the cabin at that time."

This statement on the part of the witness led to a somewhaht prolonged and, at times, quite sharp controversy between Capt. Lemly and Mr. Raynor. It soon developed that the preliminary report referred to was a report that Admiral (then Commodore) Schley had prepared of the events of July 3 and had taken on board the flagship New York and delivered to Admiral Sampson after the battle, but which the commander-in-chief had returned to him.

The admiral then recounted his conversation with Admiral Sampson, who, he said, handed the letter back to him with the statement that he (Sampson) was commander-in-chief and that he (Schley) had omitted a very important detail in the report, in that it tailed to show the presence of the New York.

VICTORY BIG ENOUGH FOR ALL.

VICTORY BIG ENOUGH FOR ALL.

"I felt at that time," Admiral Schley went on, "that the victory was big enough for all, and I made the correction (his report of the battle that has been published) out of generosity and because I knew that if the New York had been present she would have done as good work as any other vessel."

The admiral was asked what conversation he had had with Lieut, Com-

sation he had had with Lieut. Com mander Hodgson on the Brooklyn be fore the loop was made. He said he could not recall a single word with Lieut. Commander Hodgson beyond the remark that the enemy was coming for them, and his response to go for them, except some remark about the proximity of the Texas. He did not now recall that and had never been able to recall a colloquy.

He had given out for publication Lieut. Commander Hodgson's categorical denial, but not the accompanying letter of exceptions.

W. R. HUTCHINSON.

JOHN N. SHARP, JR. W. C. SPENCE.

letter of explanation.
The witness stated that he would still

say that he had desired to set Hodg-son right as well as himself, but he had done nothing in that direction be-cause the department ordered an in-

CROSS-EXAMINATION CONCLUD-ED.

At 2:36 p. m. Capt. Lemly concluded his cross-examination, which had been begun at the beginning of the morning session of Monday.

At the conclusion of the cross-examination there was placed in evidence the telegram sent by Admiral Schley to the department July 16, saying, in substance, that he did not desire to assume entire credit for the victory of July 3, and that the victory belonged to the fleet under the command of Admiral Sampson. In reply to a question from Capt. Parker, he said he had taken this dispatch to Admiral Sampson, "who stated that he was very glad that I had sent it, and thought I was very generous."

QUESTIONS BY THE COURT.

The first of the court's questions re-ferred to the blockade of Cienfuegos, and was as follows: "While off Cien-fuegos could you see the shore to the westward so distinctly as to form an

idea of the feasibility of making a landing there?"

The witness answered this question in the affirmative, and in reply to the next question said he had seen no fishermen or fishing boats on shore with whom he could have communicated;

whom he could have communicated; also, replying to a question of the court, he said he could not recollect at all the conversation by himself with Lieut. Hood to which the latter had testified.

"Had Admiral Cervera's fleet left Santiago and gone to the westward at the same time that you left Clenfuegos, could it not have passed between your fleet and the shore without being seen by you?" by you?"
"At night it might possibly have done so, but I think not in the day

"Why, on May 26, did you make your stopping place twenty miles south of Santiago, instead of the mouth of the harbor?" "I laid my course for that point, thinking that if the Spanish fleet should leave Santiago it would go southward, and that point would give me a wider horizon for observing its

movements.' "Was not it possible to begin your blockade of Santiago May 26 and main-tain if for a few days, and still have coal enough to enable you to reach Key West?"

"Yes. if I had known that the Spanish fleet was there."

ORDER WAS AMBIGUOUS.

Asked why he considered the depart-ment's order of May 25 ambiguous, he nade in substance, the same reply he had given to the direct question at the morning session

"Please point out the particular parts of the department's order of May 25 which you regard as ambiguous. 'Reads)-" 'All of the department's information indicates Spanish division is still at Santiago.' The following paragraph indicates that there was paragraph indicates that there was doubt of the fact. They required me to ascertain, or suggested that I ascertain that. Then again (reading): 'The Cubans who were familiar with Santiago say that there are landing places five or six nautical miles west.' That location was, at one time, according to the information I got subsequently, occupied by Spaniards. Again (reading), 'As soon as ascertained, notify the deNOW IS THE TIME

Seasons change. We can't change them. We can't prevent the coming of cold and damp airs.

But we can prevent the sore throats, the coughs, colds and lung troubles by taking Scott's Emulsion. Nothing does more to make the tender throat tough. Nothing gives such strength to weak lungs.

Don't take risks-when it's easy to be safe. Now is the season for taking Scott's Emulsion in season.

We'll send you a little to try, if you like. SCOTT & BOWNE, 409 Pearl street, New York.

partment whether enemy is there. Those were the main things that oc-curred to me as being ambiguous." "Why did the Brooklyn leave Key West short of coal?"
"Because of the orders of the com-mander-in-chief."

"What effort did you make at or near Cientuegos before the arrival of the Adula to discover whether or not Cer-vera's squadron was in that port?" "I did not make any, because I was led to infer that the coast was occupied. McCalla, in his cable cutting expedition had lost quite a number of men, and I supposed that the coast was occupied."

"Did any of your light vessels scout between the flying squadron and the south coast of Cuba with a view of dis-covering the Spanish fleet in the event of its having left Santiago or Clenfue-

"Did you consider Capt. Sigsbee's re-port, that he did not see the Spanish squadron, and the Pilot Nunez's statement, that the Spanish ships were too large to enter the harbor of Santiago, proof that the information given to you by Capt. McCalla on May 24, that the

by Capt. McCalla on May 24, that the Spanish force under Cervera had been reported authoritatively in Santiago on May 19, and that they were still re-ported there on the afternoon of May 21, was erroneous?"

"I do not recollect that he gave me that information."

DISPATCH TO DEPARTMENT. "If you were governed in making

the retrograde movement by the mo-tives you have stated, why did you send to the department the dispatch of May 27, 1898, which you gave to Capt. Cotton to send in cipher from Kings-

That is a very difficult question to

The last question of the court was as follows: "Where could the Spanish squadron have taken refuge if it had come out of the harbor at Santiago and had steered to the southward?"

"It could have gone to Jamaica, but I should imagine that after having gone sufficiently far to evade me the Spanish fleet would have skirted around to the westward, knowing that Admiral Sampson had left Havana for

The examination of Admiral Schley was concluded at 3:08 p. m. and he was excused. There being no further witnesses, the court, at 3:10 p. m., adjourned until 11 o'clock tomorrow. SCHLEY PRELIMINARY REPORT

It Omitted Mention Altogether of the Cruiser New York.

Washington, Oct. 30 .- It is learned tonight that the original and unpub-lished report of Admiral Schley of the battle of Santiago to Admiral Sampson, referred to in the proceedings before the court of inquiry today, was of a preliminary character, and differed from that subsequently made in that it omitted mention altogether of the cruiser New York, and that it also requested that the latter (Admiral Samp-son) have the commanding officers or captains of the vessels engaged trans-

We wish you would ask your doctor what makes you so nervous, why you are so easily tired, and what makes your digestion so weak. See if he doesn't say, "Impure blood." He will probably speak about Ayer's Sarsapa-

rilla, too. from dyspepsia and depression of spirits. I then tried Ayer's Sarsaparilla, and in one week I was a new

John McDonald, Philadelphia, Pa. \$1. All draggists. J. C. AYER CO., Lowell, Mass

mit to him (Schley) their detailed ac counts of the action, in order that he might write a full and complete official

report of the battle.

The suppressed preliminary report made by Admiral Schley is dated, "Of Santiago, July 3," and is addressed to see the second s Admiral Sampson, commander of the North Atlantic station. port in substance describes the coming out of the Spanish fleet from the harbor of Santiago, and calls attention to the fact that signals were made the vessels of the American fleet, wh were obeyed by all the ships. It then proceeds to describe the fight, and gives briefly the part played in it by each one of the ships, showing that the Brooklyn, the Oregon, the Texas and the Iowa remained in action until the Viscaya went ashore, and that the Colon surrendered to the Brooklyn and the Oregon.

and the Oregon.

The admiral commends the bravery of all the American officers and crews engaged in the fights and recomm commanding officers for gallant and meritorious conduct and for the superb handling of their ships. Then follows

some routine matter giving the Spanish losses, the injuries to the American fleet and the casualties. It then asks that Admiral Sampson have the commanding officers transmit to him Admiral Schley) their detailed accounts of the action, in order that he might write a full and complete official ort of the battle,

Verdict Against Street Railway. New York, Oct. 31.—Eugene Lezinsky of L. & S. Lezinsky, San Francisco and New York dry goods commission mer-hants, obtained in the supreme court under Judge Fursman a verdict for 35,-000 against the Metropolitan Street Rallway company because he refused to pay a five-cent fare twice and was ejected from the car. During a block-ade on Broadway, Mr. Lezinsky was transferred from one car to another, the conductor afterwards refusing to cognize the transfer.

PADEREWSKI PRIZES

Awarded to H. K. Hadley, H. W. Parker and A. W. Bird.

New York, Oct. 31 .- The judges in the ompetition for prizes from the fund stablished by Ignace C. Paderewski ast year have announced their decision There were three prizes of \$500 each for the best work for orchestra, the best piece for chorus and orchestra with or without solos, and the best piece of chamber music for any combination of instruments. The competition was estricted to composers born in the Work for orchestra, to Henry adley, musical director of the cathe dral school of St. Paul, Garden City, L. I., for his symphony, "The Four Seasons"

Choral work, Heratic W. Parker, Battell professor of theory of music at Yale university, for "A Star Song," cantata for solo, chorus and orchestra. Chamber music composition, Arthur W. Bird, for a serenade for wind in-

Anti-Spirituous Liquor Conference New York, Oct. 31.—A dispatch to the London Times and the New York Times from Berlin says the Society for Combatting the Abuse of Spirituous Liquors has begun a conference at Breslau.

Baron von Diergardt said at the conference he believed that alcohol would one day be universally regarded as an enemy of civilization, but at present it was only possible to recommend mod-eration in its use. Seven hundred and fifty millions of dollars was yearly spent in Germany on intoxicating liquors. It was estimated that the average German consumed the equivalent of five gallons of spirits a day.

Baron von Diergardt added that on difficulty in the campaign was that the state itself was interested in alcohol, deriving, as it did, a revenue of \$41,000. 000 from beer. One-third of the Ger-man exports to Togoland and one-seventh of those to East Africa, consisted

### GERMAN PAPERS IRRITATED. They Do Not Relish the Publication of Voyron's Letters.

New York, Oct. 31-The Berlin correspondent of the London Times and New York Times says the German newspapers find it impossible to conceal their irritation over the publica-toion of the leteers which Gen. Voyron. who commanded the French troops in China, sent to Field Marshal Count you Waldersee. The Cologne Gazette refer-ring to Gen. Voyron's assertion of the claim of France to champion throughout China the cause of the Catholic establishments, says the German missions will be protected by Germany, France's "exclusive rights" cannot be practically exercised.

### Five Firemen Injured.

Chicago, Oct 31 .- Fire at an early hour this morning destroyed the three-story brick cooperage shop of John Johnson at 210-212 North Carpenter street, causing a loss of \$60,000. Several persons living in cottages adjoining the shops were overcome by smoke and were carried out by the police. Five firemen were caught in the base-

ment and more or less seriously burned. They could not escape and were rescued by other firemen. The injured are: Lieutenant Arthur Kelly. Michael J. McLean. Andrew Rider.

Wm. Firnhalder.




An Excellent Combination. The pleasant method and beneficial effects of the well known remedy. SYRUP OF FIGS, manufactured by the CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO. illustrate the value of obtaining the liquid laxative principles of plants known to be medicinally laxative and presenting them in the form most refreshing to the taste and acceptable to the system. It taste and acceptable to the system. It is the one perfect strengthening laxative, cleansing the system effectually, dispelling colds, headaches and fever gently yet prouptly and enabling one to overcome habitual constitution permanently. Its

manently. Its perfect freedom from every objectionable quality and sub-stance, and its acting on the kidneys, liver and bowels, without weakening or irritating them. or irritating them, make it the idea laxative. In the process of manufacturing fig In the process of manufacturing uge are used, as they are pleasant to the taste, but the medicinal qualities of the remedy are obtained from senna and other aromatic plants, by a method known to the California Fig Symp. Co. only. In order to get its beneficial effects and to avoid imitations, please remember the full name of the Company printed on the front of every peaker.

printed on the front of every package CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO

SAN FRANCISCO, CAL. LOUISVILLE, KY. NEW YORK, N. Y. For sale by all Drognists.—Price 50c. per bot

# OFFICERS OF SALT LAKE MUNICIPAL NOMINATIONS To be Voted for at the Municipal Election to be Held Tuesday, November 5th, 1901.



CHARLES COTTRELL, JR. EDWARD J. EARDLEY. WILLIS E. VIGUS.	NATHANIEL H. GLENN. THOMAS C. LEWIS. RODNEY C. BADGER.	FOR COUNCILMEN, F. E. WILSON.  PAUL BLASER. H. W. HANSON	FOR COUNCILMEN,	POR C
WILLIS E. VIGUS.		H. W. HANSON, HIRD MUNICIPAL	. WARD.	-

OR COUNCILMEN, E. OPENSHAW.	1 8 6	FOR COUNCILMEN, HENRY ARNOLD.	FOR COUNCILMEN, W. WIEGANT.		FOR COUNCILMEN,
ADD DADWODMIT	-	POWARD II DAVIO	 I H ZENCED	-1	

FOR COUNCILMEN, FRANK R. SNOW.

DATIO II. DATIO.	
DAVID L. DAVIS.  EDWARD H. DAVIS.  J. H. ZENGER.  M. TURNER.	
J. E. OPENSHAW. HENRY ARNOLD. W. WIEGANT.	

#### EMANUEL HARTENSTEIN. ALMA BUTLER. WILLIAM J. TUDDENHAM HENRY WILSON.

FOR COUNCILMEN, EDWIN HOLDER.

The American State of the State	F	FIFTH MUNICIP	AL WARD.		
ARTHUR J. DAVIS.	FOR COUNCILMEN, IRA H, LEWIS. M. B. SOWLES.	FOR COUNCILMEN. HANS HANSEN. DANIEL BAIN,	FOR COUNCILMEN,	FOR COUNCILMEN,	FOR COUNCILME!
JOHN S. DAVELER.	THOMAS A. WILLIAMS.	C. H. BODELL.			山二

HANS HANSEN.	18	FOR COUNCILMEN,	POR COCNCILARN,		TO A COLOR	
DANIEL BAIN.						
C. H. BODELL.						
	1-10	PARTIES IN		57		

FOR COUNCILMEN,

STATE OF UTAH, CITY AND COUNTY OF SALT LAKE.

I, J. O. Nystrom, City Recorder of Salt Lake City, Utah, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct list of all nominations filed with me for the Municipal Election to be held November 5th, 1901.

FOR COUNCILMEN,

In WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the corporate seal of said city, this 29th day of October, 1901.

J. O. NYSTROM, City Recorder. (SEAL.) Instructions to voters, as required by law, will be furnished by the City Recorder and posted by the Judges of Election in each polling booth, and in at least three conspicuous places at the various polling places on election day.